

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1840 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
USA(703) 413-3000
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

FACSIMILE


PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

TO	Kendall Jones	10/20/2004
	NAME	DATE
	USPTO	(571) 273-1592
	COMPANY/FIRM	FAX #
	NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 4	CONFIRM FAX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
FROM	Sunder Sachar	239954US2
	NAME	OUR REFERENCE
	(703) 413-3000	10/612,926
	DIRECT PHONE #	YOUR REFERENCE

MESSAGE

Signed Restriction Response as requested.

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.



Docket No.: 239954US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER
(703) 413-3000
GMAIER@OBLON.COMSURINDER SACHAR
(703) 413-3000
SSACHAR@OBLON.COM

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/612,926

Applicants: Fujita TAKASHI, et al.

Filing Date: July 7, 2003

For: TRANSFER FIXING APPARATUS, FIXING
APPARATUS, TONER IMAGE FORMING
APPARATUS, METHOD, AND RECORD MEDIUM
RECYCLED METHOD

Group Art Unit: 2852

Examiner: Brase, S.L.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

I:\ATTY\SN\123\239954\REST RESP DUE 101504 Cvr.doc

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM

DOCKET NO: 239954US2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

FUJITA TAKASHI, ET AL.

SERIAL NO.: 10/612,926

FILED: JULY 7, 2003

EXAMINER: BRASE, S.L.

GROUP ART UNIT: 2852

FOR: TRANSFER FIXING APPARATUS, FIXING APPARATUS, TONER IMAGE FORMING APPARATUS, METHOD, AND RECORD MEDIUM RECYCLED METHOD

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction/Election requirement of September 15, 2004, applicants elect, with traverse, the invention of Group I. Within Group I applicants elect Species I, and identify Claims 2-6 as reading on the elected species.

Applicants traverse the outstanding Restriction/Election requirement on the grounds that it has not been established that it be an undue burden to examine each of the noted inventions and claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a Restriction/Election is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding Restriction/Election requirement has not established that examining each of the currently-pending claims together would result in an undue burden.

M.P.E.P. § 803 specifically states:


If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

Application No. 10/612,926
Reply to Restriction Requirement of September 15, 2004

The outstanding Restriction/Election requirement has not established that each of the claims could be examined without an undue burden, and thus each of the noted inventions and claims should be examined on their merits.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier
Registration No. 25,599
Surinder Sachar
Registration No. 34,423
Attorneys of Record



22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413-2220

GJM/SNS/jrs

\\ATTYSNS\23\238954\238954US-REST RESP DUE 101504.DOT